Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/014,270	FERNANDO ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Yelena G. Gakh, Ph.D.	1743
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Yelena G. Gakh,.</u> .	(3)	
(2) David P. Gloekler	(4)	
Date of Interview: 31 March 2004	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: over the applied prior art; plurality of known elements		
Claims discussed: pending		
Prior art documents discussed: applied references; additional art on flow cells with fiberoptics		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
		•
Yeles Hale		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: the Applicant agreed to cancel claims 8-40 without prejudice or terminal disclaimer; the Applicant agreed with the proposed Examiner's amendment to claim 1, which eliminates limitation regarding input and output liquid flow lines; the Examiner indicated allowability of claims 1-7 over the prior art as reciting a combination of dip probes and flow cells; claim 46 is added to recite the withdrawn limitation.